Unknown Book Proceedings Series
Volume **00**, 1997

arXiv:math/0212048v2 [math.RT] 14 Apr 2003

# The c-function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces and its relations to harmonic analysis and representation theory

Bernhard Krötz and Gestur Ólafsson

## INTRODUCTION

The Harish-Chandra **c**-function and its profound role in harmonic analysis is well known to every one who has had any connections to harmonic analysis on Riemannian symmetric spaces. The **c**-function shows up in a most natural way either as the coefficient of the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of the spherical function; as the density of the Plancherel measure; or finally as the eigenvalue of the Knapp-Stein intertwining operator acting on the one-dimensional space of $K$-fixed vectors in a principal series representation. All of those different roles are related, but each one of them explain different aspects of this jewel. The detailed knowledge of the **c**-function is given by the Gindikin-Karpelevic product formula (cf. [5]) and the explicit representation of the **c**-function on rank one spaces in terms of $\Gamma$-functions.

The situation for non-Riemannian semisimple symmetric spaces $G/H$ is quite different and much more involved. There are several reason for this, the most obvious one being, that in general the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of an irreducible unitary representation $\pi$ of $G$ does in general *not* have a nontrivial $H$-fixed vector. This facts leads us into the study of $H$-invariant distribution vectors, i.e., understanding the space $(\mathcal{H}^{-\infty})^H$. This space is finite dimensional, but in general the dimension is greater than one. The action of an intertwining operator is therefore not given by a scalar valued function but by a matrix. However, there is an important class of symmetric spaces where the notion of spherical function and *the* **c**-function shows up naturally. Those are the non-compactly causal symmetric spaces. A simple characterization is in terms of an $H$-invariant open convex cone $C$ consisting of hyperbolic elements and diffeomorphic to an open $H$-invariant subset in $G/H$. This should be understood as a replacement for the Cartan decomposition $G/K \simeq \mathfrak{p}$ for Riemannian symmetric spaces. The cone $C$ is the domain where the spherical functions $\varphi_\lambda$ on $G/H$ are supported. The asymptotic behavior of those functions is again given by a **c**-function, which - again analogous to the Riemannian case -

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 22E46.

Supported in part by NSF-grant DMS-0097314.

Supported in part by NSF-grant DMS-0070607 and DMS-0139783.

©1997 American Mathematical Society

can be represented as an integral over a unipotent group $\overline{N}$. The new complication is, that the integral is now not over the full group $\overline{N}$, but only over subset given by $\overline{N} \cap HP_{\min}$ where $P_{\min}$ is certain minimal parabolic subgroup. The understanding of this domain of integration is the first step in understanding the $\mathbf{c}$-function and to generalize the Gindikin-Karpelevic product formula to this setting.

In this overview article several aspects of the $\mathbf{c}$-function and its role in harmonic analysis and representation theory are discussed. Generally we do not go into any details of the proofs, but try instead to explain the ideas by our main example $\mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ and include references where detailed proofs can be found. We also include references to articles which have provided important particular steps or introduced new ideas and tools, although we have to point out that this list is in no way complete and should not be taken as such.

In the first three sections we introduce the minimal amount necessary for understanding the fine structure of $\overline{N} \cap HP_{\min}$ that leads up to the product formula. We start by introducing the category of non-compactly causal symmetric spaces, but the most important part is the convex structure of the real bounded symmetric space $\Omega \simeq H/H\cap K$.

The integral representation for the $\mathbf{c}$-function is introduced in Section 4. As an example we give an explicit calculation for the simplest rank-one case $\mathrm{Sl}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_o(1,1)$. The result is that the $\mathbf{c}$-function is given by a Beta-function. For the general rank-one non-compactly causal symmetric spaces $\mathrm{SO}_o(n{+}1,1)/\mathrm{SO}_o(n,1)$ the formula is $\mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \kappa B(n/2, 1-(\lambda+n)/2)$ where $\kappa$ is a positive constant depending on the normalization of measures. We finish the section by the general product formula. Several important articles leading up to the final proof in [16] include the important special case of Cayley type symmetric spaces worked out by J. Faraut in [2] and the work by P. Graczyk [6] where the $\mathbf{c}$-function for our main example $\mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ was calculated.

The second half of the article is devoted to the role of the $\mathbf{c}$-function in harmonic analysis and representation theory. We start by discussing the spherical functions $\varphi_\lambda$ and their asymptotics. We conclude Section 5 by the Harish-Chandra type expansion formula for the spherical function where the coefficients are now given by the $\mathbf{c}$-function for $G/H$. Finally we mention in Theorem 5.10 how the the numerator of the $\mathbf{c}$-function gives information about the singularities of $\varphi_\lambda$ in the spectral parameter.

We discuss the $H$-spherical highest weight representations of the $c$-dual group $G^c$ in Section 6. Those representations play an important role in harmonic analysis on $G^c/H$ but here we focus on the space of $H$-spherical distribution vectors. The main result is stated in Theorem 6.5, the Averaging Theorem. Let $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ be a unitary $H$-spherical highest weight representation. We fix a normalized highest weight vector $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda$. Then for "big" parameters we have that $\int_H \pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda\, dh$ exists and equals to $\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)\nu$ where $\nu$ is $H$-spherical and normalized by $\langle \nu, v_\lambda\rangle = 1$. This results leads then to an explicit formula involving $\mathbf{c}$-function for the *formal dimension.*

Section 5 and 6 are finally connected in Section 7 where we introduce the $H$-spherical distribution $\theta_\lambda(f) = f \mapsto \langle \pi_\lambda(f)\nu, \nu\rangle$. It turns out that this distribution is in fact determined by the spherical function and the $\mathbf{c}$-function by representing $\theta_\lambda$ as a hyperfunction. As such we have $\theta_\lambda = \mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda+\rho}$.

## 1. Causal symmetric spaces

In this section we recall some basic facts and definitions that will be needed later on. Our standard reference for the theory of *causal symmetric spaces* is is the monograph [10], in particular chapter 3.

Let $G$ be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. If $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $G$, then $\sigma$ will also denote the derived automorphism $d\sigma(\mathbf{1})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (and the complex linear extension to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$) and vice versa. Let $\theta$ be a Cartan involution on $G$ and let $K = G^{\theta} = \{g \in G : \theta(g) = g\}$ be the corresponding maximal compact subgroup. On the Lie algebra level $\theta$ induces the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ where $\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \theta(X) = X\}$ is the Lie algebra of $K$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \theta(X) = -X\}$ is the (-1)-eigenspace of $\theta$. Let $\tau$ be a non-trivial involution on $\mathfrak{g}$. We can and will assume that $\tau$ commutes with $\theta$. Write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q}$ for the $\tau$-eigenspace decomposition according to the eigenvalues $+1$ and $-1$. As $\theta$ and $\tau$ commutes we get the joint eigenspace decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}\,.$$

Let $H$ be an open subgroup of $G^{\tau}$ with $G^{\tau}$ the $\tau$-fixed points of $G$. Then $G/H$ is called an *affine symmetric space* or simply a *symmetric space*. Notice that the Lie algebra of $H$ is $\mathfrak{h}$.

In this article we will always assume that $G$ is contained in the connected simply connected Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Furthermore we will assume that $H = G^{\tau}$. Those two assumptions simplify some arguments and our notation, but all the main results remain valid for the general case. Note in particular that every homomorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to a homomorphism of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ as $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is simply connected.

The symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called *irreducible* if the only $\tau$-invariant ideals in $\mathfrak{g}$ are the trivial ones, $\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. We say that the symmetric space $G/H$ is *irreducible* if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is irreducible. If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is irreducible, then either $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple or $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, with $\mathfrak{h}$ simple, and $\tau(X, Y) = (Y, X)$. In the second case we have that $G/H \ni (a, b)H \mapsto ab^{-1} \in H$ defines an isomorphism of $G/H$ onto $H$. From now on we will always assume that $G/H$ is irreducible.

Definition 1.1. Let $C \neq \emptyset$ be an open convex subset of $\mathfrak{q}$. Then $C$ is called *hyperbolic*, if for all $X \in C$ the operator $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is semisimple with real eigenvalues. We say that $C$ is *elliptic*, if for all $X \in C$ the operator $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is semisimple with imaginary spectrum.

Definition 1.2. **(Causal symmetric spaces**) Suppose that $G/H$ is irreducible. Then $G/H$ is said to be *causal* if there exists a non-empty open $H$-invariant convex cone $C \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, containing no affine lines.

There are two different types of causal symmetric spaces, the *non-compactly causal* symmetric spaces (NCC) and the *compactly causal* symmetric spaces (CC). In addition, there is the intersection of those two classes, the *Cayley type* symmetric spaces (CT).

Definition 1.3. **(NCC)** Assume that $G/H$ is an irreducible causal symmetric space. Then the following two condirtions are equivalent:

1. There exists a non-empty $H$-invariant open hyperbolic cone $C \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ which contains no affine lines;
2. There exists an element $T_0 \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$, $T_0 \neq 0$, which is fixed by $H \cap K$.

If one of those equivalent conditions are satisfied, then $G/H$ is called *non-compactly causal.*

Definition 1.4. **(CC)** Assume that $G/H$ is an irreducible causal symmetric space. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

1. There exists a non-empty $H$-invariant open elliptic cone $C \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ which contains no affine lines;
2. There exists an element $X_0 \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}$, $X_0 \neq 0$, which is fixed by $H \cap K$.

If one of those equivalent conditions are satisfied, then $G/H$ is called *compactly causal.*

Definition 1.5. **(CT)** Assume that $G/H$ is an irreducible causal symmetric space. Then $G/H$ is called a *symmetric space of Cayley type*, if it is both non-compactly causal and compactly causal.

Remark 1.6. (a) The elements $T_0$ and $X_0$ in Definition 1.3 and Definition 1.4 are unique up to multiplication by scalar. If $G/H$ is NCC then we can, and will, normalize $T_0$ such that $\operatorname{ad}(T_0)$ has spectrum $\{0, 1, -1\}$. The eigenspace corresponding to 0 is exactly $\mathfrak{g}^{\theta\tau} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$. If $G/H$ is CC, then we normalize $X_0$ such that the spectrum of $\operatorname{ad}(iX_0)$ is $\{0, 1, -1\}$. In this case the zero eigenspace is exactly $\mathfrak{k}$.
(b) If $G/H$ is compactly causal, then $\mathfrak{k}$ has a non trivial center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap \mathfrak{q} = \mathbb{R}X_0$. If $G$ is simple then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathbb{R}X_0$. If $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then $X_0 = (X_0', -X_0')$ with $X_0'$ central in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathbb{R}X_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}(X_0', X_0')$.

Denote the complex linear extension of $\tau$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\tau$. The $c$-dual $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \tau^c)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ is defined by $\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{h} \oplus i\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with involution $\tau|_{\mathfrak{g}^c}$. Notice that the $c$-dual of $(\mathfrak{g}^c, \tau^c)$ is $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$. Let $G^c$ denote the analytic subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^c$. As we are assuming that $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is simply connected and $H = G^\tau$ it follows that $G \cap G^c = H$. Hence $G^c/H$ is well defined. The space $G^c/H$ is called the *c-dual* of $G/H$.

We recall the following fact:

Proposition 1.7. *Assume that $G/H$ is an irreducible symmetric space. Then the following holds:*

1. *$G/H$ is non-compactly causal if and only if $G^c/H$ is compactly causal.*
2. *$G/H$ is of Cayley type if and only if $G/H \simeq G^c/H$.*
3. *Suppose that $G/H$ is causal. Then $G/H$ is of Cayley type if and only if $H$ is not simple. In that case $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ is one dimensional and contained in $\mathfrak{p}$.*

□

Example 1.8. **(The group case)** Let $H$ be a connected semisimple Lie group, $G = H \times H$, and $\tau(a, b) = (b, a)$. Then $G/H \simeq H$ is compactly causal if and only if $H$ is a Hermitian group. In this case $G_{\mathbb{C}} = H_{\mathbb{C}} \times H_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $G^c/H \simeq H_{\mathbb{C}}/H$ (cf. [10, Example 1.2.2]).

We now come to our main example which will accompany us through the whole article.

Example 1.9. **(Main example)** Let $G = \mathrm{Sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is simply connected. We take the standard Cartan involution $g \mapsto \theta(g) = (g^{-1})^t$ for $g \in G$. Then $K = \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $1 < p < n$ and set $q = n - p$. Define an

$n \times n$-matrix $I_{p,q}$ by

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{p\times p} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{q\times q} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Then

$$\tau\colon G \to G, \quad g \mapsto I_{p,q}\theta(g)I_{p,q}$$

defines an involution on $G$ commuting with $\theta$. We take $H = G^\tau = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. Notice that

$$H \cap K = S(\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q)) .$$

We have

$$\mathfrak{q}\cap\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : A \in M(p,\mathbb{R}),\ B \in M(q,\mathbb{R});\ A^t = A,\ B^t = B,\ \mathrm{tr}(A) + \mathrm{tr}(B) = 0 \right\} .$$

Furthermore the element

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{1}_{p\times p} & 0 \\ 0 & -p\mathbf{1}_{q\times q} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

is in $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and $T_0$ is $H\cap K$-fixed. According to Definition 1.3 the symmetric spaces $G/H = \mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\,\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ are NCC for all $1 < p < n$. The dual space is readily computed to be

$$G^c/H = \mathrm{SU}(p,q)/\,\mathrm{SO}(p,q) .$$

We will continue the discussion of this example in the sequel.

For the convenience to the reader we list here the three tables of causal symmetric pairs (cf. [10, Th. 3.2.8]).

**Table I**
**The group case**

| $\mathfrak{g}$<br>CC | $\mathfrak{g}^c$<br>NCC | $\mathfrak{h}$ |
|---|---|---|
| $\mathfrak{su}(p,q) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ | $\mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}(2,n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2,n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(n+2,\mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$ |
| $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_6$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_7$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ |

**Table II**
**$\mathfrak{g}$ simple and not CT**

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $\mathfrak{g}^c$ | $\mathfrak{h}$ |
|---|---|---|
| CC | NCC | |
| $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ | $\mathfrak{sl}(p+q,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ |
| $\mathfrak{su}^*(2p,2q)$ | $\mathfrak{su}^*(2(p+q)$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(n,n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(1,n+1)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(1,n)$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}(2,p+q)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(p+1,q+1)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(1,p)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(1,q)$ , $p,q\geq 2$ |
| $\mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(2,2)$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}$ | $\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}$ | $\mathfrak{su}^*(8)$ |

**Table III**
**$\mathfrak{g}$ simple and CT**

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $\mathfrak{g}^c\simeq\mathfrak{g}$ | $\mathfrak{h}$ |
|---|---|---|
| $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ | $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ |
| $\mathfrak{su}(n,n)$ | $\mathfrak{su}(n,n)$ | $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathbb{R}$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}^*(4n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}^*(4n)$ | $\mathfrak{su}^*(2n)\oplus\mathbb{R}$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(2,n)$ | $\mathfrak{so}(1,n-1)\oplus\mathbb{R}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}$ | $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}\oplus\mathbb{R}$ |

## 2. Bounded symmetric domains

In this section we discuss the relation between causal symmetric spaces and totally real symmetric subdomains of bounded complex symmetric domains. The **c**-function, that we discuss later, turns out to be an integral over those domains. We begin by recalling some elementary structure theory for causal symmetric spaces and introduce some notation that will be used later on.

From now on $G/H$ will denote a NCC symmetric space. Let $\mathfrak{a}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}\cap\mathfrak{q}$ be a maximal abelian subspace. Note that NCC implies that $\mathfrak{a}$ is also maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}$ and in $\mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$. As $\mathfrak{a}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ it follows that $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{a})$. For $\alpha\in\mathfrak{a}^*$ let $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha=\{X\in\mathfrak{g}\colon(\forall H\in\mathfrak{a})\,[H,X]=\alpha(H)X\}$ and let $\Delta=\{\alpha\in\mathfrak{a}^*\colon\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\neq\{0\}\}\setminus\{0\}$ denote the set of restricted roots with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{m}\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

associated to $\Delta$.

Recall the $H\cap K$-fixed element $T_0\in\mathfrak{q}\cap\mathfrak{p}$, and notice that $T_0\in\mathfrak{a}$ no matter what our choice of $\mathfrak{a}\subseteq\mathfrak{q}\cap\mathfrak{p}$ will be (cf. [10, Lemma 1.3.5]). As $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{ad}T_0)=\{-1,0,1\}$ we obtain a triangular decomposition

$$\Delta=\Delta_n^-\amalg\Delta_0\amalg\Delta_n^+ \tag{2.1}$$

with $\Delta_n^\pm = \{\alpha \in \Delta\colon \alpha(T_0) = \pm 1\}$ and $\Delta_0 = \{\alpha \in \Delta\colon \alpha(T_0) = 0\}$. Using (2.1) we obtain a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{n}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta_n^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta_0} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{q}\cap\mathfrak{p} \ .$$

Notice that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}(0)) = \mathbb{R}T_0$ (cf. [10, Lemma 1.3.5]). Denote by $N^+$ respectively $N^-$ the analytic subgroup of $G$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^+$ respectively $\mathfrak{n}^-$. Then both $N^+$ and $N^-$ are simply connected abelian Lie groups. Let $G(0) = Z_G(T_0)$. Then the Lie algebra of $G(0)$ is $\mathfrak{g}(0)$. By our assumption that $G$ is contained in a simply connected group $G_\mathbb{C}$ and $H = G^\tau$ we get $G(0) = (H \cap K)\exp(\mathfrak{p}\cap\mathfrak{q}) = G \cap K_\mathbb{C}^c$. Notice that by the same argument we also have $M := Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) = Z_{H\cap K}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq H\cap K$. Finally we set $P_{\max} = G(0)N^+$. Then $P_{\max}$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$.

Recall the Hermitian $c$-dual $\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{h} + i\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{k}^c = (\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{k}) + i(\mathfrak{q}\cap\mathfrak{p})$ is a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^c$. Notice that $\mathfrak{k}^c$ and $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ have the same complexification. Let $\mathfrak{p}^+ := (\mathfrak{n}^+)_\mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{n}^+$, and similarily $\mathfrak{p}^- := (\mathfrak{n}^-)_\mathbb{C}$. Thus complexifying (2.2) yields

$$\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{p}^- \oplus (\mathfrak{k}^c)_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^+, \tag{2.3}$$

the familiar Harish-Chandra decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ associated to its Hermitian real form $\mathfrak{g}^c$.

Write $\sigma\colon \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ for the complex conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ with respect to the real form $\mathfrak{g}$. Notice that $\sigma$ leaves the decomposition (2.3) stable. Taking the space of $\sigma$-fixed points in (2.3) we get the decomposition in (2.2) back.

Let $P^+$ and $P^-$ be the analytic subgroups of $G_\mathbb{C}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}^+$ respectively $\mathfrak{p}^-$. Similarily we define $K^c$ and $K_\mathbb{C}^c$. By a well known result of Harish-Chandra we have $G^c \subseteq P^-K_\mathbb{C}^cP^+$. Hence the image of the Hermitian space $G^c/K^c$ under the embedding

$$\iota\colon G^c/K^c \hookrightarrow G_\mathbb{C}/K_\mathbb{C}^cP^+, \quad gK^c \mapsto gK_\mathbb{C}^cP^+ \tag{2.4}$$

is contained in $P^-K_\mathbb{C}P^+$. As $P^- \cap K_\mathbb{C}^cP^+ = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, it follows that we can realize $G^c/K^c$ in $P^-$ and hence, as $\exp|_{\mathfrak{p}^-} : \mathfrak{p}^- \to P^-$ is a analytic diffeomorphism, also in $\mathfrak{p}^-$. We write $\mathcal{D} = (\exp|_{\mathfrak{p}^-})^{-1}(\iota(G^c/K^c))$. Then $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^-$ is a bounded symmetric domain. Notice that $K_\mathbb{C}^cP^+ \cap G = P_{\max}$. We get therefore a $G$-equivariant embedding of flag manifolds

$$G/P_{\max} \hookrightarrow G_\mathbb{C}^c/K_\mathbb{C}^cP^+ \ .$$

Furthermore

$$(G^cK_\mathbb{C}P^+)^\sigma = HP_{\max} \ . \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.4) it follows that $\sigma$ induces an anti-holomorphic involution on $\mathcal{D}$. Write $\Omega := \{X \in \mathcal{D}\colon \sigma(X) = X\} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^-$. Using that $\iota$ intertwines with $\sigma$, we obtain from (2.5) that

$$\Omega \simeq H/H\cap K \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$HP_{\max} = \exp(\Omega)P_{\max}\ . \tag{2.7}$$

As $\mathcal{D}$ is convex, the same then holds for $\Omega$. More precisely we have (Herman's convexity theorem)

$$\Omega = \left\{X \in \mathfrak{n}^- \colon \|\operatorname{ad}(X + \tau(X))\| < 1\right\}\ . \tag{2.8}$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the operator norm related to the inner product $(X, Y) = -\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}(X)\operatorname{ad}(\theta(Y))$ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Example 2.1. Assume that $G$ is complex. Then $G/H = H_{\mathbb{C}}/H$ where $H$ is of Hermitian type. In this case $\Omega = \mathcal{D}_H$ with $\mathcal{D}_H$ the Harish-Chandra realization of the Hermitian symmetric space $H/H \cap K$.

Example 2.2. **(Main example continued)** We continue our discussion of the NCC space $G/H = \mathrm{Sl}(n, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ from Example 1.9. From now on we will always assume that $p \leq q$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the space of diagonal matrices

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\{\operatorname{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \colon t_j \in \mathbb{R},\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j = 0\right\}\ .$$

Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ and it is clear that $\mathfrak{a}$ is also maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$. The root system $\Delta$ is of type $A_{n-1}$ and is given by $\Delta = \{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \colon k \neq l\}$ where $\varepsilon_j \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is defined by

$$\varepsilon_j(\operatorname{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = t_j\ .$$

We choose $\Delta^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \colon i < j\}$ as a positive system. Let $E_{ij} = (\delta_{ki}\delta_{lj}) \in M(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$. In this notation the root spaces are given by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{R}E_{ij}\ .$$

Furthermore by the definition of $T_0$ in (1.1) we obtain that

$$\Delta_n^+ = \{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \colon 1 \leq k \leq p,\ p + 1 \leq l \leq n\}\ .$$

Using that $\Delta_n^- = -\Delta_n^+$ and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \theta(\mathfrak{n}^+) = \{X^t \colon X \in \mathfrak{n}^+\}$ we get:

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p\times p} & A \\ \mathbf{0}_{q\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{q\times q}\end{pmatrix} \colon A \in M(p \times q, \mathbb{R})\right\} \simeq M(q \times p, \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\mathfrak{n}^- = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p\times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p\times q} \\ B & \mathbf{0}_{q\times q}\end{pmatrix} \colon B \in M(q \times p, \mathbb{R})\right\}\ .$$

Define elementary matrices in $M(q \times p, \mathbb{R})$ by $F_{ij} = (\delta_{ki}\delta_{lj})$. Then we obtain a vector space isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{n}^- \simeq M(q \times p, \mathbb{R})\ .$$

by linear extension of the basis vectors assignments $E_{i+p,j} \leftrightarrow F_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$. Using this identification we have that $\mathfrak{p}^- \simeq M(q \times p, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{X \in M(q \times p, \mathbb{C}) \colon \mathbf{1}_{p\times p} - X^*X >> 0\}$$

and so by taking real points (i.e. $\sigma$-fixed points) we get

$$\Omega = \left\{X \in M(q \times p, \mathbb{R})\colon \mathbf{1}_{p\times p} - X^t X >> 0\right\} \ . \tag{2.9}$$

## 3. The fine convex structure of $\Omega \simeq H/H \cap K$

The proof of the product formula for the **c**-functions depends on the fine convex geometry of $\Omega$ which we discuss in this section. The problem we face here is that the $N^-$-part of $HP_{\max} \cap \overline{N}P_{\max} = \exp(\Omega)P_{\max}$ is not all of $N^-$. Hence the rank one reduction depends on the understanding of the the projection and intersection of $\Omega$ onto subspaces corresponding to rank one subspaces corresponding to each positive root. The results are taken from [16].

Define a convex cone in $\mathfrak{a}$ by

$$c_{\max} = \left\{X \in \mathfrak{a}\colon (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+)\ \alpha(X) \geq 0\right\} \ .$$

Then it follows readily from 2.8 that

$$(\forall X \in c_{\max}) \qquad e^{\operatorname{ad} X}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega \ . \tag{3.1}$$

This fact is closely related to the definition of the compression semigroup of $\Omega$ and the more geometric definition of causal symmetric spaces using cones (cf. Section 1). Later, in Section 5 when we will discuss spherical functions, we will entirely adopt this more geometric point view.

For all $\alpha \in \Delta_n^-$ we let

$$p_\alpha \colon \mathfrak{n}^- \to \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

denote the projection along $\bigoplus_{\substack{\beta\in\Delta_n^- \\ \beta\neq\alpha}} \mathfrak{g}^\beta$. As mentioned earlier $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{a})$. Hence $N_H(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ and so

$$\mathcal{W}_0\colon = N_{H\cap K}/M = N_H(\mathfrak{a})/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}) \ .$$

The group $\mathcal{W}_0$ is called *the little Weyl group.* As $\operatorname{Ad}(k)T_0 = T_0$ for all $k \in H \cap K$ it follows that $H \cap K$ normalizes $\mathfrak{n}^-$. In particular $w(\Delta_n^-) = \Delta_n^-$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}_0$.

Theorem 3.1. **[16, Th. II.5]** *Let* $\alpha \in \Delta_n^-$. *Then*

$$p_\alpha(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega \ .$$

Proof. A proof of Theorem 3.1 in full generality requires a fair amount of advanced structure theory which is beyond the scope of this exposition. Here we restrict ourselves for giving a proof for our basic example $\mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$. The proof given below contains the idea of the general proof in [16].

Recall the facts and notations of Example 1.9 and Example 2.2. Let $X = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_n^-} X_\alpha \in \Omega$ with $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$. We have to show that $X_\alpha \in \Omega$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_n^-$. Denoting by $\mathfrak{S}_k$ the group of permutations of $\{1,\dots,k\}$, then $\mathcal{W}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{S}_q \times \mathfrak{S}_p$ where the action of a permutation $s = (s_1, s_2)$ is given by

$$s(\varepsilon_{p+i} - \varepsilon_j) = \varepsilon_{p+s_1^{-1}(i)} - \varepsilon_{s_2^{-1}(j)} \ , \quad 1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p \ .$$

Hence all the roots in $\Delta_n^-$ are conjugate under the little Weyl group. Thus we only have to show that $X_\alpha \in \Omega$ for $\alpha = \varepsilon_{p+1} - \varepsilon_p$ (notice that $\Omega$ is invariant under

$N_{H\cap K}(\mathfrak{a})$). If $q=1$ then there is only one root so there is nothing to prove. We can therefore assume that $q>1$. Let $\delta = \frac{p-1}{q-1}$ and define $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ by

$$Y = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, -\delta, \dots, -\delta)$$

with $(p-1)$-times $+1$ on the diagonal. It is easy to check that $Y \in c_{\max}$. Further notice that $\alpha(Y)=0$ while $\beta(Y)<0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_n^-$ with $\beta \neq \alpha$. By (3.1) we get that

$$X_\alpha = \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{t \operatorname{ad} Y}(X) \in \Omega,$$

concluding the proof of the theorem. □

Example 3.2. **(Main example continued)** For our main example $G/H = \mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ we use the natural identification $\mathfrak{n}^- \simeq M(q\times p, \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$X = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le q\\ 1\le j\le p}} x_{ij} F_{ij} \in \mathfrak{n}^-$$

with coordinates $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $X \in \Omega$ if and only if $\mathbf{1}_{p\times p} - X^t X >> 0$. Thus if $X \in \Omega$, then Theorem 3.1 implies that $x_{ij}F_{ij} \in \Omega$ for all $i,j$. The latter is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{1}_{p\times p} - (x_{ij}F_{ij})^t (x_{ij}F_{ij}) >> 0 \\ \iff &\mathbf{1}_{p\times p} - x_{ij}^2 F_{jj} >> 0 \qquad\qquad . \\ \iff &|x_{ij}| < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $X = \sum_{\substack{1\le i\le q\\ 1\le j\le p}} x_{ij}F_{ij} \in \Omega$, then $|x_{ij}|<1$ for all $i,j$. Notice that this is not obvious from (2.9).

For $\alpha \in \Delta_n^-$ let $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ be the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}$. As $\tau(\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) = \theta(\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}$ it follows that $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ is $\tau$ and $\theta$-stable. Hence $\tau_\alpha\colon = \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)}$ and $\theta_\alpha\colon = \theta|_{\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)}$ define involutions on $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$. It is not hard to see that $(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \tau_\alpha)$ is a symmetric NCC pair of rank one. Write $\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)^{\tau_\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)^{\theta_\alpha}$. Let $G(\alpha)$, $K(\alpha)$ be the analytic subgroups of $G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha)$. Set $H(\alpha) = H\cap G(\alpha) = G(\alpha)^{\tau_\alpha}$. Finally we let $\Omega(\alpha) \simeq H(\alpha)/H(\alpha)\cap K(\alpha)$ denote the bounded symmetric domain associated to $G(\alpha)/H(\alpha)$. Then we obtain a canonic embedding of NCC-spaces

$$G(\alpha)/H(\alpha) \hookrightarrow G/H$$

and accordingly an embedding of the real bounded symmetric domain

$$\Omega(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \Omega\ .$$

The second main result on the fine convex structure of $\Omega$ is then:

Theorem 3.3. **[16, Th. II.7]** *Let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^-$. Then*

$$\Omega \cap \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \Omega(\alpha)\ .$$

□

Example 3.4. **(Main example continued)** Recall from Example 2.2, that the roots in $\Delta_n^-$ are $\varepsilon_{p+i} - \varepsilon_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$. In the notation from that example we have

$$\Omega(\varepsilon_{p+i} - \varepsilon_j) = ]-1, 1[F_{ij} \ .$$

Thus Theorem 3.3 means for our main example that

$$\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}F_{ij} = ]-1, 1[F_{ij}$$

for all $i, j$.

## 4. The c-functions

In this section we introduce the **c**-function associated to the NCC space $G/H$. After recalling its definition and basic properties from [3] we discuss the product formula for the **c**-function as a product of the **c**-functions corresponding to the rank one symmetric spaces $G(\alpha)/H(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$. This formula was established by J. Faraut in [2] for all CT spaces and by P. Graczyk in [6] for our main example. The general formula was finally obtained in [16]. The exposition here follows the geometric approach from [16]; in particular the results of Section 3 will enter in a crucial way.

Let $\Delta^+$ be any positive system in $\Delta$ containing $\Delta_n^+$. Set $\Delta^- = -\Delta^+$ and define nilpotent subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ by in the usual way by

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \ .$$

Then $\tau(\mathfrak{n}) = \theta(\mathfrak{n}) = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$. Write $N$ and $\overline{N}$ for the analytic subgroups of $G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Recall that $HAN$ is open in $G$ and that the multiplication mapping

$$H \times A \times N \to G, \quad (h, a, n) \mapsto han \tag{4.1}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto $HAN$. Write

$$HAN \ni x \mapsto (h_H(x), a_H(x), n_H(x)) \in H \times A \times N \tag{4.2}$$

for its invere and notice the maps $h_H$, $a_H$, and $n_H$ are analytic. For $x \in G$ we also introduce the notation $x = k(x)a(x)n(x)$ with $k(x) \in K$, $a(x) \in A$, and $n(x) \in N$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $a \in A$ we adopt the usual convention by setting $a^\lambda = e^{\lambda(\log a)}$. Further we define $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}(\dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha)\alpha$.

Fix a Haar measure $d\overline{n}$ on $\overline{N}$ such that $\int_{\overline{N}} a(\overline{n})^{2\rho}\, d\overline{n} = 1$. We have now all ingredients to give a first definition of the subject proper of this paper, the **c**-function for $G/H$:

Definition 4.1. **[3]** Let $G/H$ be an NCC space. Then we define *the* **c**-*function of $G/H$ with parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$* by

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \int_{\overline{N} \cap HAN} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)}\ d\overline{n} \ , \tag{4.3}$$

whenever the integral converges absolutely. We denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ for which $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$ is defined.

The definition of the **c**-function is motivated from the theory of spherical functions of $G/H$ developed in [3] and which we will explain in the next section.

Presently what is most disturbing is the seemingly complicated structure of the domain of integration $\overline{N} \cap HAN$. Also it is not clear from the definition that $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$.

Example 4.2. Let us compute the $\mathbf{c}$-function for the rank one NCC spaces starting with the simplest case of $G = \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Here

$$H = \mathrm{SO}(1,1) = \pm \left\{ h_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} ,$$

and

$$A = \left\{ a_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} .$$

In this case $\Delta_n^+ = \Delta^+$ and

$$N = N^+ = \left\{ n_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{N} = N^- = \left\{ \overline{n}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

A simple calculation now shows that

$$HP_{\max} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a^2 - c^2 > 0 \right\} ,$$

$$\Omega \simeq ]-1, 1[ \, ,$$

and

$$a_H \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a^2 - c^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{a^2 - c^2} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Let us identify $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with $\mathbb{C}$ by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} a(\overline{n}_y)^{\lambda + \rho} \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - y^2)^{(\lambda+1)/2} \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} t^{-1/2} (1 - t)^{-(\lambda+1)/2} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} B\left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-\lambda + 1}{2} \right) , \end{aligned}$$

where $B$ denotes the Beta function.

For the general rank one case $G = \mathrm{SO}_o(n+1, 1)/\mathrm{SO}_o(n, 1)$ a very similar calculation applies, carried out in [3]. Here we also have $\Delta_n^+ = \Delta^+ = \{\alpha\}$. We then identify $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with $\mathbb{C}$ by $\lambda \leftrightarrow (z/2)\alpha$. Then $\rho = n$. Finally, there exists a constant $\kappa$ such that

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda) = \kappa B\left( \frac{n}{2}, 1 - \frac{z+n}{2} \right) .$$

In particular, it follows that $\mathbf{c}_\Omega$ extends to a meromorphic function on all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

Remark 4.3. The reader familiar with harmonic analysis on Riemannian symmetric spaces $G/K$ will recognize a similarity in the definitions of the $\mathbf{c}$-function of $G/H$ with the one for $G/K$. In fact, replacing $H$ by $K$ in (4.3) gives the definition of the $\mathbf{c}$-function for $G/K$ (notice that $KAN = G$ so that $\overline{N} \cap KAN = \overline{N}$). This resemblance is not by coincidence. First of all both $\mathbf{c}$-functions show up as the coefficient of the leading term in the assymptotic expansion of spherical functions. The spherical function in question can be written as an integral over $\overline{N} \cap HAN$ which results in the coefficient beeing an integral over $\overline{N} \cap HAN$. We will discuss this in Section 5 (see also [22]).

A second reason, and more geometric, for this is that the Riemannian symmetric spaces and the NCC spaces both belong to the class of symmetric spaces $G/H$ which admit open $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariant hyperbolic convex subsets in $\mathfrak{q}$. This class of symmetric spaces (not necessary semisimple) was investigated geometrically in [12] and subequently a unified approach to spherical functions was developed in [14,15].

Let us now describe the geometry of the domain $\overline{N} \cap HAN$. For that we define $\Delta_0^{\pm} = \Delta^{\pm} \cap \Delta_0$ and set

$$\mathfrak{n}_0^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}_0^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \ .$$

Then the triangular decomposition (2.1) implies $\Delta^+ = \Delta_0^+ \amalg \Delta_n^+$ as well as $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_0^+$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}^- \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_0^-$. Accordingly if we let $N^{\pm}$ and $N_0^{\pm}$ be the analytic subgroups of $G$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}^{\pm}$, and $\mathfrak{n}_0^{\pm}$, then we have

$$N = N^+ \rtimes N_0^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{N} = N^- \rtimes N_0^- \ .$$

The following proposition is well known (cf. [10, Ch. 5]). But because of its importance for us we will provide a quick proof.

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$\overline{N} \cap HAN = \exp(\Omega) N_0^- \ . \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $P_{\max} = G(0)N^+$ as before. Recall that $M = Z_K(A)$ and define

$$P_{\min} = MAN \ .$$

Then $P_{\min}$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup of $G$ contained in the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{\max}$. By (2.7) $HP_{\max} = \exp(\Omega)G(0)N^+$. As $HP_{\max} = HAN$ we get

$$\overline{N} \cap HAN = \overline{N} \cap \exp(\Omega) G(0) N_n^+ \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_0 = N_{H\cap K}(\mathfrak{a})/M$ be as before the little Weyl group and $\mathcal{W} = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/M$ be the *big Weyl group*. Here we have used that $M = Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) = Z_H(\mathfrak{a})$. Then we have the Bruhat decompositions

$$G(0) = \coprod_{w \in \mathcal{W}_0} N_0^- w MAN_0^+ w^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \coprod_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \overline{N} w MAN w^{-1} \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) now yields the assertion. □

As was observed in [3] the geometric splitting (4.4) of the domain $\overline{N} \cap HAN$ implies a splitting of the $\mathbf{c}$-function. Define $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} (\dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha)\alpha$. Normalize the Haar measure $d\overline{n}_0$ on $N_0$ such that $\int_{\overline{N}_0} a(\overline{n}_0)^{2\rho_0}\, d\overline{n}_0 = 1$. Then the Haar measure $d\overline{n} = d\overline{n}_0 d\overline{n}_n$ on $\overline{N}$ satisfies $\int_N a(\overline{n})^{2\rho}\, d\overline{n} = 1$

Definition 4.5. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ we define the partial $\mathbf{c}$-functions

$$\mathbf{c}_0(\lambda) = \int_{N_0^-} a_H(\overline{n}_0)^{-(\lambda+\rho_0)}\, d\overline{n}_0$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda) = \int_{\exp(\Omega)} a_H(\overline{n}_n)^{-(\lambda+\rho)}\, d\overline{n}$$

whenever the defining integrals converge. We denote by $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_\Omega$ the domains of definition of $\mathbf{c}_0$ and $\mathbf{c}_\Omega$, respectively.

Notice that $\mathbf{c}_0(\lambda)$ is the $\mathbf{c}$-function of the Riemannian symmetric space $G(0)/H\cap K$ and hence explicitely known (*Gindikin-Karpelevic formula* [5]). From Definition 4.1, (4.4) and the fact that $N^-$ is $G(0)$-invariant, one obtains the splitting

$$(\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{E}) \qquad \mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_0(\lambda)\, \mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda)\ . \tag{4.7}$$

Thus in order to compute $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$ it suffices to compute $\mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda)$. This was accomplished by Faraut (cf. [2]) for CT spaces using Jordan algebra techniques in a very intelligent way. Later, using a modification of Faraut's method, P. Graczyk computed the $\mathbf{c}$-function for our main example $\mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ in [6]. But one of the observations in [16] was that it is better to compute $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$ directly without using the splitting (4.7). In fact the authors observed that all what was needed to make the Gindikin-Karpelevic idea work (cf. [5] and [4] for a particular nice exposition) in the NCC setup were the geometric results from Section 3.

For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $H_\alpha \in [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$. Set $m_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$.

Theorem 4.6. **(The product formula for $\mathbf{c}_\Omega$) [16, Th. III.5]** *For the $\mathbf{c}$-function $\mathbf{c}_\Omega$ of the real bounded symmetric domain $\Omega$ one has*

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \colon (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+)\ \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\right\}$$

*and*

$$\mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda) = \kappa \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} B\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\lambda(H_\alpha)}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2} + 1\right)$$

*where $B$ denotes the Beta function and $\kappa$ is a positive constant only depending on $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$.* □

Corollary 4.7. *The function $\mathbf{c}_\Omega$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$.* □

Example 4.8. **(Main example continued)** We write elements $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ as $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \varepsilon_j$ with coordinates $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Notice that $m_\alpha = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus for $G/H = \mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ we get

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \colon \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j - \lambda_i) > -1,\ 1 \le i \le p,\ p+1 \le j \le n\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda) = \kappa \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le p\\ p+1\le j\le n}} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) = \kappa\pi^{\frac{pq}{2}} \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le p\\ p+1\le j\le n}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda_j-\lambda_i}{2}+1\right)} \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Problems 4.9. For the definition of the **c**-functions one uses special positive systems $\Delta^+$ which are compatible with the triangular decomposition (2.1). But what happens if we allow arbitrary positive systems $\Delta^+$ of $\Delta$? Define $N(\Delta^+)$ to be the analytic subgroup corresponding to

$$\mathfrak{n}(\Delta^+) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

and $\overline{N}(\Delta^+) := \theta(N(\Delta^+))$. Then we can define a **c**-function $\mathbf{c}_{\Delta^+}(\lambda)$ by

$$\mathbf{c}_{\Delta^+}(\lambda) = \int_{\overline{N}(\Delta^+)\cap HAN(\Delta^+)} a_{H,\Delta^+}(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho(\Delta^+))}\, d\overline{n}$$

where $\rho(\Delta^+)$ and $a_{H,\Delta^+}$ are defined in the obvious way. Then some natural questions are:

- What is the geometry of $\overline{N}(\Delta^+)\cap HAN(\Delta^+)$?
- For which $\lambda$'s - if any - does the integral defining $\mathbf{c}_{\Delta^+}(\lambda)$ converge absolutely.
- What is the significance of $\mathbf{c}_{\Delta^+}(\lambda)$ in terms of harmonic analysis on $G/H$ and in terms of representation theory?
- Does a product formula for $\mathbf{c}_{\Delta^+}(\lambda)$ hold?

## 5. Spherical functions on $G/H$

In this section we recall a theory of spherical functions for an NCC space $G/H$ developed in [3] and [19]. The connection with the previous section is that the coefficient of the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of the spherical functions is given by the **c**-function of $G/H$. The spherical functions introduced below will not be be defined on $G/H$ but on an open subset which is homemorphic to a hyperbolic cone $C \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. In the sequel we will use the more geometric definition of NCC spaces through cones (cf. Definition 1.3)

Recall the definiton of the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{\max} = G(0)N$ of $G$. Write $\mathcal{O}$ for the open $H$-orbit in the flag manifold $G/P_{\max}$ through the origin. From our discussion in Section 4 we have

$$\mathcal{O} \simeq H/H\cap K \simeq \Omega \ .$$

We define the *compression semigroup* $S$ of $\mathcal{O}$ by

$$S = \{g \in G\colon g\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\} \ .$$

Clearly $S$ is an $H$-bi-invariant semigroup in $G$ contained in $HAN = HP_{\max}$. From (3.1) we obtain $\exp(c_{\max}) \subseteq S$. If $S^0$ denotes the interior of $S$, then we have in addition

- $S^0 = H\exp(c^0_{\max})H$ (cf. [10, Ch. 5]);
- $C = \mathrm{Ad}(H)c^0_{\max}$ is an $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariant open hyperbolic convex cone in $\mathfrak{q}$ which contains no affine lines. Moreover the multiplication mapping

$$H \times C \to S^0, \quad (h, X) \mapsto h\exp(X)$$

is a homeomorphism (cf. [10, Th. 5.2.6]).

Example 5.1. **(Main example continued)** Even for $G/H = \mathrm{Sl}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ the cone $C$ forfeits a nice explicit description. However the trace of $C$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ can be described nicely:

$$C \cap \mathfrak{p} = \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : A \in M(p,\mathbb{R}),\ B \in M(q,\mathbb{R});\ A^t = A,\ B^t = B,\ \mathrm{tr}(A) + \mathrm{tr}(B) = 0,$$

$$\min \mathrm{Spec}(A) > \max \mathrm{Spec}(B) \Big\}.$$

Notice that $T_0 \in C$.

We fix a Haar measure $dh$ of $H$.

Definition 5.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Then we define the *spherical function with spectral parameter* $\lambda$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda \colon S^0 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad s \mapsto \int_H a_H(sh)^{\lambda-\rho}\, dh$$

whenever the defining integrals exist.

Remark 5.3. Several comments on the definition of $\varphi_\lambda$ are appropriate:
(a) The functions $\varphi_\lambda$ are only defined on $S^0$ and not on the whole symmetric space $G/H$.
(b) One can show that $\varphi_\lambda$ is defined if and only if $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_\Omega$ (cf. [3, Th. 6.3]).
(c) All the spherical functions are $H$-bi-invariant and, as functions on $S^0$, common eigenfunctions of $\cdot(G/H)$, the algebra of $G$-invariant differential operators on $G/H$.

In order to relate the spherical functions with our **c**-functions we need an integration formula whose proof can be found in [18]. Recall that $M = Z_H(\mathfrak{a}) = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$ is a compact subgroup of $H$. Then we have a diffeomorphism

$$N \cap HAN \to H/M, \quad \overline{n} \mapsto h_H(\overline{n})M\ .$$

Here $h_H(\overline{n}) \in H$ is defined by (4.2).

Write $m$ for a normalized Haar measure on $M$. Then we choose a Haar measure $d(hM)$ on $H/M$ such that

$$\int_H f(h)\, dh = \int_{H/M} \int_M f(hm)\, dm\, d(hM)$$

holds for all $f \in L^1(H)$.

Lemma 5.4. *We can normalize $dh$ such that*

$$\int_{H/M} f(hM)\, d(hM) = \int_{\overline{N} \cap HAN} f(h_H(\overline{n})M) a_H(\overline{n})^{-2\rho}\, d\overline{n}$$

*holds for all $f \in L^1(H/M)$.* □

From Lemma 5.5 we now obtain an alternate definition for $\varphi_\lambda$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_\Omega$. Then for all $a \in S^0 \cap A = \exp(c^0_{\max})$ one has*

$$\varphi_\lambda(a) = a^{\lambda-\rho} \int_{\overline{N} \cap HAN} a_H(a\overline{n}a^{-1})^{-\rho+\lambda} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)}\, d\overline{n}\ .$$

PROOF. Using Lemma 5.4 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\lambda(a) &= \int_H a_H(ah)^{\lambda-\rho}\ dh = \int_{H/M} a_H(ah)^{\lambda-\rho}\ d(hM)\\ &= \int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} a_H(ah_H(\overline{n}))^{\lambda-\rho} a_H(\overline{n})^{-2\rho}\ d\overline{n}\\ &= \int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} a_H(a\overline{n}a_H(\overline{n})^{-1})^{\lambda-\rho} a_H(\overline{n})^{-2\rho}\ d\overline{n}\\ &= \int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} a_H(a\overline{n})^{\lambda-\rho} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)}\ d\overline{n}\\ &= a^{\rho-\lambda}\int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} a_H(a\overline{n}a^{-1})^{\lambda-\rho} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)}\ d\overline{n}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

Denote by $\varphi_\lambda^0$ the spherical function

$$\varphi_\lambda^0(g) = \int_{K\cap H} a(gk_0)^{\lambda-\rho_0}\ dk_0 \qquad (g\in G(0))$$

for the Riemannian symmetric space $G(0)/K\cap H$. Here $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\Delta_0^+} m_\alpha \mathfrak{a}$ as before and $dk_0$ denotes the normalized Haar measure on $K\cap H$. Finally let $\rho_+ = \rho - \rho_0 = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_n^+} m_\alpha\alpha$. By Proposition 5.5 we get:

LEMMA 5.6. *Let $s\in S^0$ and $\lambda\in\mathcal{E}_0\cap\mathcal{E}_\Omega$. Then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{t(\rho-\lambda)(T_0)}\varphi_\lambda(\exp(tT_0)s) = \mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda)\varphi^0_{\lambda-\rho_+}(s)\ .$$

*In particular, $\varphi_{s\lambda} = \varphi_\lambda$ for all $s\in\mathcal{W}_0$.* □

Define an open Weyl chamber $A^+\subseteq A$ by

$$A^+ = \left\{a\in A\colon (\forall\alpha\in\Delta^+)\ a^\alpha > 1\right\} = \left\{a\in A\colon (\forall\alpha\in\Delta^+)\ \alpha(\log a) > 0\right\}\ .$$

Notice that $A^+\subseteq S^0\cap A$. In fact $S^0\cap A = (\bigcup_{w\in\mathcal{W}_0}\overline{wA^+w^{-1}})^0$. Then we obtain from Proposition 5.5:

PROPOSITION 5.7. *Let $\lambda\in\mathcal{E}_\Omega$. Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{a\to\infty\\ a\in A^+}} a^{\rho-\lambda}\varphi_\lambda(a) = \mathbf{c}(\lambda)\ .$$

PROOF. Notice that $\lim_{A^+\ni a\to\infty} a(a\overline{n}a^{-1}) = \mathbf{1}$ for all $\overline{n}\in\overline{N}$. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 5.5 provided we can interchange the limit with the integral sign. In fact, one can show that dominated convergence applies and we refer to the proof of [3, Th. 6.8] for the technical details. □

It was shown in [19] how to obtain from Lemma 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 a Harish-Chandra type expansion formula for the spherical function $\varphi_\lambda$. To be more precise let $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}_0[\Delta^+]$. Following Harish-Chandra we define for $\mu\in\Lambda$ a rational function $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}\ni\lambda\mapsto\Gamma_\mu(\lambda)\in\mathbb{C}$ by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = 1$ and then

$$((\mu,\mu) - 2(\mu,\lambda))\Gamma_\mu(\lambda) = 2\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} m_\alpha \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma_{\mu-2k\alpha}(\lambda)(\mu+\rho-2k\alpha+\lambda,\alpha)\ .$$

Then $\Gamma_\mu$ is holomorphic as a function of $\lambda$ in a open dense subset of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. We say that $\lambda$ is *generic* if $\Gamma_\mu$ is holomorphic in the point $\lambda$. Furthermore we define functions $\Phi_\lambda$ on $A^+$ by

$$\Phi_\lambda(a) = a^{\lambda-\rho} \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda} \Gamma_\mu(\lambda) a^{-\mu} \ .$$

With these notations and supressing further details we then have:

Proposition 5.8. **[19](Expansion formula)** *For $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_\Omega$ generic and $a \in A^+$ we have*

$$\varphi_\lambda(a) = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}_0} \mathbf{c}(w\lambda)\Phi_{w\lambda}(a) \ .$$

□

Corollary 5.9. *Let $a \in A^+$. Then the function $\lambda \mapsto \varphi_\lambda(a)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$.* □

Knowing that $\varphi_\lambda$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ in the parameter $\lambda$ it is natural to study the singularities of this function. It is also not clear from the above that these meromorphically extended functions can still be defined as $H$-invariant functions on $S^0$. Both of these problems were addressed in [23] and [26].

Theorem 5.10. **([23, Cor. 8.2])** *Denote by $n_\Omega(\lambda)$ the numerator of $\mathbf{c}_\Omega(\lambda)$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{W}_0$-invariant tubular neighborhood $U \subseteq A_\mathbb{C}$ of $S^0 \cap A$ such that*

$$(\lambda, a) \mapsto \frac{\varphi_\lambda(a)}{n_\Omega(\lambda)}$$

*extends to a $\mathcal{W}_0 \times \mathcal{W}_0$-invariant holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times U$.* □

## 6. Spherical highest weight representations

In the last two remaining sections we discuss the relevance of the $\mathbf{c}$-function for representation theory. In this section we will explain the relation of $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$ with the formal dimensions of representations of the holomorphic discrete series on the $c$-dual space $G^c/H$. For a fixed choice of $\Delta^+$ the representations of the holomorphic discrete series are by definition $H$-spherical highest weight representations that can be realized as discrete summand in $L^2(G/H)$ (cf. [24]). We will begin our discussion with some brief recall on spherical representations and the $H$-spherical distribution vectors. Then we explain the connection between the $\mathbf{c}$-function and the formal dimension of spherical representations.

### General facts about spherical representations.

In this subsection $G$ will denote an arbitrary Lie group, $H$ a symmetric subgroup of $G$, and $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation of $G$. We write $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^\omega$ for the $G$-modules of smooth respectively analytic vectors. The strong antiduals of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^\omega$ are denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-\omega}$ and refered to as the $G$-modules of *distribution* respectively *hyperfunction vectors* of $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$. Notice the following sequence of $G$-invariant continuous inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-\omega} \ .$$

If $G$ is reductive and $K < G$ is a maximal compact subgroup, then $\mathcal{H}_K$ will denote the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-module of $K$-finite vectors of $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$. Notice that if $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is irreducible then $\mathcal{H}_K \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{-\omega}$.

If $L$ is a group and $V$ is an $L$-module, then we denote by $V^L$ the space of $L$-fixed vectors on $V$. Similarily if $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Lie algebra and $V$ is a $\mathfrak{l}$ module, then we set $V^{\mathfrak{l}} = \{v \in V \colon (\forall X \in \mathfrak{l})\, Xv = 0\}$. Finally if $V$ is a vector space, then we denote by $V^{\overline{*}}$ its algebraic antidual.

Let $L < G$ be a subgroup. Then an irreducible unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of $G$ is called *$L$-spherical* if $(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^L \neq \{0\}$. A nonzero element in $(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^L$ is called a *$L$-spherical hyperfunction vextor* and similarly we call a nonzero element in $(\mathcal{H}^{-\infty})^L$ a *$L$-spherical distribution vector.* In general it is easier to work with the $L$-spherical hyperfunction vectors, but the following theorem of Brylinski, van den Ban, and Delorme (for a proof see [1]), clarifies the relation between those two notions in our case.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $G$ is reductive and $H < G$ is a symmetric subgroup, then we have $(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^H = (\mathcal{H}^{-\infty})^H$. If in addition $H$ is connected, then*

$$(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^H = (\mathcal{H}^{-\infty})^H = (\mathcal{H}_K)^{\overline{*},\mathfrak{h}} .$$

□

**Spherical highest weight modules.**

From now on $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ will denote a unitary highest weight representation of the Hermitian group $G^c$. Recall that this means that there exists an irreducible $K^c$-submodule $V \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that

**(HW1)** $\mathcal{H}_{K^c} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})V$;

**(HW2)** $\mathfrak{p}^+ V = \{0\}$.

Here $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ denotes the *universal enveloping algebra* of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. From now on we will also assume that $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ is $H$-spherical. This implies in particular that the $K^c$-module $V$ above is $H \cap K^c$-spherical. Denote by $v_0$ a nontrivial element in $V^{H \cap K^c}$.

The next step is to show that $H$-spherical highest weight modules have multiplicity one, i.e. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^H = 1$. This was first done in [24] for the holomorhic discrete series, and the general case was done in [9]. The next lemma contains the crucial information.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be an $H$-spherical unitary highest weight representation of $G^c$. Then, as a $\mathfrak{h}$-module, $\mathcal{H}_{K^c}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}^c)} V$.*

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 3.1.1 in [9] we note that $\mathfrak{g}^c_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and so $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^c_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}})$. It follows from (HW2) and the fact that $V$ is a $\mathfrak{k}^c$-module that $(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}})V \subseteq V$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_{K^c} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})V$ from which the lemma easily follows. □

Proposition 6.3. **(Multiplicity one)** *Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be an $H$-spherical unitary highest weight representation of $G^c$. Then $\dim(\mathcal{H}^{-\omega})^H = 1$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 3.1.2 in [9]. By Theorem 6.1 it is enough to show that $\dim(\mathcal{H}^{\overline{*}}_{K^c})^{\mathfrak{h}} = 1$. According to Lemma 6.2 this will be implied by $\dim[\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}^c)} V]^{\overline{*},\mathfrak{h}} = 1$. for that write $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})_+$ for the subspace of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ consisting of elements with zero constant term. Then any $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant functional on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}^c)} V$ must necessarily vanish on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})_+ \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}^c)} V$. Thus up to scalar $\nu$ is given by

$$\nu(h\otimes v)=0 \qquad \text{if } h\in\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})_+ \tag{6.1}$$
$$\nu(h\otimes v)=\langle v_0,v\rangle \qquad \text{if } h=\mathbf{1}\ .$$

This proves the proposition. □

Write $\lambda$ for the highest weight of the $K^c$-module $V$. As $V$ is $H\cap K^c$-spherical we have $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}^*$. In the sequel we write $(\pi_\lambda,\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ and $V_\lambda$ instead of $(\pi,\mathcal{H})$ and $V$ in order to indicate the dependance on $\lambda$. According to Proposition 6.3 we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{-\omega})=\mathbb{C}\nu$$

is one dimensional. For the appropriate normalization of $\nu$ let $v_\lambda$ be a normalized highest weight vector of $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$. As $\langle v_0,v_\lambda\rangle\neq 0$ it follows from (6.1) that $\langle\nu,v_\lambda\rangle\neq 0$. We can therefore normalize $\nu$ by

(6.2) (Normalization) $$\langle\nu,v_\lambda\rangle=1.$$

Remark 6.4. (a) The motivation for the normalization (6.2) comes from the group case $G=H\times H$. In this case all $H$-spherical highest weight representations of $G$ are of the form $(\pi\otimes\overline{\pi},\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ where $(\pi,\mathcal{H})$ a highest weight representation of $H$ and $(\overline{\pi},\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ is its dual representation.

Write $B_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ for the spaces of trace class and Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We will identify $\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with $B_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then $B_2(\mathcal{H})^\infty\subseteq B_1(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. [8, Th. A.2]) and the conjugate trace

$$\overline{\mathrm{tr}}\colon B_2(\mathcal{H})^\infty\to\mathbb{C},\quad X\mapsto\overline{\mathrm{tr}\,X}$$

is a canonically normalized $H$-invariant distribution vector. It is easy to see that $\overline{\mathrm{tr}}$ satisfies (6.2).
(b) Unitary spherical highest weight representations are meanwhile quite well understood. For more and an almost complete classification let us refer to [13].

The next theorem is the main result in this section. It tells us how to obtain $\nu$ from $v_\lambda$.

Theorem 6.5. **[11, Th. 2.16] (Averaging Theorem)** *Let $(\pi_\lambda,\mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ be an $H$-spherical unitary highest weight representation with paramter $\lambda$ satisfying $\lambda+\rho\in\mathcal{E}_\Omega$. Then we have*

$$\int_H \pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda\ dh=\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)\nu\ . \tag{6.3}$$

*Here the left hand side is a convergent $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{-\omega}$-valued integral.*

Proof. (Sketch) We will not go into the technical details of the convergence of the left hand side (LHS) in (6.3) and refer to the proof of [11, Th. 2.16] instead. So let us assume that the LHS actually converges. Because of "multiplicity one" (cf. Proposition 6.3), the LHS must be a multiple of $\nu$. In view of our normalization of $\nu$, it is hence enough to check that

$$\int_H\langle\pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda,v_\lambda\rangle\ dh=\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)\ .$$

Using the integration formula from Lemma 5.4 we obtain that (note that $v_\lambda$ is $M$-fixed)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_H \langle \pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle \, dh &= \int_{H/M} \langle \pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle \, d(hM)\\ &= \int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} \langle \pi_\lambda(h_H(\overline{n}))v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle a(\overline{n})^{-2\rho} \, d\overline{n} \ .\end{aligned}$$

As $\overline{n} = h(\overline{n})a_H(\overline{n})n_H(\overline{n})$ we get $h_H(\overline{n}) = \overline{n}a_H(\overline{n})^{-1}n$ for some $n \in N$. Write $\pi_\lambda^V$ for the representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}^c$ on $V_\lambda$. Using this and the fact that $d\pi_\lambda(X)v_\lambda = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ we obtain for the integrand that

$$\langle \pi_\lambda(h_H(\overline{n}))v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle = \langle \pi_\lambda^V(a_H(\overline{n}^{-1}))v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle = a_H(\overline{n})^{-\lambda} \ .$$

Finally we get

$$\int_H \langle \pi_\lambda(h)v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle \, dh = \int_{\overline{N}\cap HAN} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+2\rho)} d\overline{n} = \mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho) \ ,$$

as was to be shown. □

Remark 6.6. **(Formal dimension)** Theorem 6.5 features many applications. One of them is the determination of the formal dimension of a representation $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ of the holomorphic discrete series on $G^c/H$. For such a representation we have a $G^c$-equivariant embedding

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\infty \to C^\infty(G^c/H), \quad v \mapsto f_v; \ f_v(gH) = \langle \pi_\lambda(g^{-1})v, \nu\rangle$$

which extends to a continuous $G$-equivariant map $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \to L^2(G^c/H)$ satisfying

$$(\forall v, w \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda) \qquad \frac{1}{d(\lambda)}\langle v, w\rangle = \langle f_v, f_w\rangle. \tag{6.4}$$

Here $d(\lambda) > 0$ is a positive number refered to as the *formal dimension*. Then one can essentially deduce from (6.3) the formula

$$d(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_{G/H}(\lambda+\rho_H)\,\mathbf{c}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/G}(\lambda+\rho_G)^{-1} \tag{6.5}$$

(cf. [11, Th. 3.6, Th. 4.15, Cor. 4.16]). In (6.5) the functions $\mathbf{c}_{G/H}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/G}$ stand for the $\mathbf{c}$-functions of the NCC spaces $G/H$ and $G_{\mathbb{C}}/G$ (cf. Example 1.8); further $\rho_H$ and $\rho_G$ refer ro the different $\rho$'s of the NCC pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

In the group case $G = H \times H$ the formula (6.4) simplifies to

$$d(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_{H_{\mathbb{C}}/H}(\lambda+\rho)^{-1} \tag{6.5}$$

and Harish-Chandra's formula for the formal dimension for the holomorphic discrete series on $G/H \simeq H$ (cf. [7])

$$d(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \frac{\langle \lambda+\rho, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \rho, \alpha\rangle} \tag{6.6}$$

becomes a special case of the product formula in Theorem 4.6 and the Gindikin-Karpelevic formula.

## 7. Spherical characters of spherical highest weight representations

In this section we will give another application of the Averaging Theorem 6.5. We relate the $H$-spherical distribution vector $\nu$ to the spherical function $\varphi_{\lambda+\rho}$ and the normalizing factor turns out to be exactly the $\mathbf{c}$-function. Combined with the expansion formula in Proposition 5.8 this gives a generalization of the Harish-Chandra character formula for the holomorphic discrete series on a compact Weyl chamber. For a different approach for the character formula using the Hardy space realization of the spherical highest weight represenation in the holomorphic discrete series see [20,21].

Throughout this section $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ denotes an $H$-spherical unitary highest weight representation of $G^c$. The corresponding *spherical character* is given by

$$\theta_\lambda\colon C_c^\infty(G^c/H) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto \langle \pi_\lambda(f)\nu, \nu\rangle = \langle \int_{G^c/H} f(gH)\pi(g)\nu \; dgH, \nu\rangle \ .$$

It is a general fact that $\theta_\lambda$ defines an $H$-invariant distribution on $G^c/H$.

For the group case a deep result of Harish-Chandra asserts that $\theta_\lambda$ is given by a locally integrable function. For general symmetric spaces this $G/H$ this becomes unfortuntately false. For a nice formula for $\theta_\lambda$ one needs to extend $\theta_\lambda$ to a holomorphic function in a complex domain in $G_\mathbb{C}/H_\mathbb{C}$, i.e., concider $\theta_\lambda$ as a hyperfunction.

In this section we will therefore give a short discussion of the analytic continuation of $\theta_\lambda$ along $H\exp(i\mathfrak{a})H/H$ in $G^c/H$. Firstly, it follows from a beautiful result of Olshanski and Stanton that the representation $\pi_\lambda$ can be analytically continued to a representation of the open semigroup $S^0 = H\exp(c^0_{\max})H$ (cf. [25], [27]). Moreover, by a result of K.-H. Neeb [17], the operators $\pi_\lambda(s)$ for $s \in S^0$ are all of trace class and by [14, Appendix] we have

$$(\forall s \in S^0) \qquad \pi_\lambda(s)\mathcal{H}_\lambda^{-\omega} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\lambda^\omega \ . \tag{7.1}$$

From this it follows that

$$\Theta_\lambda\colon S^0 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad s \mapsto \langle \pi_\lambda(s)\nu, \nu\rangle$$

defines an $H$-invariant analytic function on $S^0$. We call the parameter $\lambda$ *regular* if $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,K^c}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}+\mathfrak{p}^+)} V_\lambda$ as $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$-module. Notice that $\lambda$ is regular for all parameters belonging to the holomorphic discrete series (cf. [13, Th. A.1]).

THEOREM 7.1. **(Spherical Character formula)**. *Let $(\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda)$ be an $H$-spherical unitary highest weight representation of $G^c$ with regular parameter $\lambda$. Then we have*

$$(\forall s \in S^0) \qquad \Theta_\lambda(s) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)} \cdot \varphi_{\lambda+\rho}(s) \ .$$

PROOF. We reproduce the proof from [11, Th. 5.4] for all $\lambda$ with $\lambda+\rho \in \mathcal{E}_\Omega$. The analytic continuation to all regular parameters was established in [9, Th. 4.1.2] using results from [19]. So let us assume that $\lambda+\rho \in \mathcal{E}_\Omega$. Then it follows from Theorem 6.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_\lambda(s) &= \langle \pi_\lambda(s)\nu, \nu\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)} \int_H \langle \pi_\lambda(sh)v_\lambda, \nu\rangle \; dh \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)} \int_H a_H(sh)^\lambda \; dh \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}(\lambda+\rho)} \cdot \varphi_{\lambda+\rho}(s),\end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

## References

[1] J.L. Brylinski, and P. Delorme, *Vecteurs distributions H-Invariants pur les séries principales généralisées d'espaces symétriques reductifs et prolongement meromorphe d'intégrales d'Eisenstein*, Invent. math. **109** (1992), 619–664.

[2] J. Faraut, *Fonctions Sphériques sur un Espace Symétrique Ordonné de Type Cayley*, Contemp. Math. **191** (1995), 41–55.

[3] J. Faraut, J. Hilgert, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical functions on ordered symmetric spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **44** (1994), 927–966.

[4] R. Gangolli, and V.S. Varadarajan, *Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups*, Springer, 1988.

[5] S. Gindikin, and F.I. Karpelevic, *Plancherel measure for symmetric Riemannian spaces of non-positive curvature*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **145** (1962), 252–255.

[6] P. Graczyk, *Function c on an ordered symmetric space*, Bull. Sci. math. **121** (1997), 561–572.

[7] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups. VI. Integrable and square-integrable representations*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 564–628.

[8] J. Hilgert, and B. Krötz, *Representations, Characters, and Spherical Functions Associated to Causal Symmetric Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999), 357–390.

[9] J. Hilgert, and B. Krötz, *The Plancherel Theorem for invariant Hilbert spaces*, Math. Z. **237** (2001), 61–83.

[10] J. Hilgert, and G. Ólafsson, *Causal Symmetric Spaces, Geometry and Harmonic Analysis*, Acad. Press, London, 1996.

[11] B. Krötz, *Formal dimension for semisimple symmetric spaces*, Comp. Math. **125** (2001), 155–191.

[12] B. Krötz, and K. - H. Neeb, *On hyperbolic cones and mixed symmetric spaces*, Journal of Lie Theory **6:1** (1996), 69–146.

[13] B. Krötz, and K. - H. Neeb, *Spherical unitary highest weight representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354 (3)** (2002), 1233–1264.

[14] B. Krötz, K. - H. Neeb, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical Representations and Mixed Symmetric Spaces*, Representation Theory **1** (1997), 424-461.

[15] B. Krötz, K. - H. Neeb, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical functions on mixed symmetric spaces*, Representation Theory **5** (2001), 43–92.

[16] B. Krötz, and G. Ólafsson, *The c-function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces*, Invent. math. **149(3)** (2002), 647–659.

[17] K.-H. Neeb, *Holomorphic representation theory. II*, Acta Math. **173** (1994), 103–133.

[18] G. Ólafsson, *Fourier and Poisson transformation associated to a semisimple symmetric space*, Invent. Math. **90(3)** (1987), 605–629.

[19] G. Ólafsson, *Spherical Functions and Spherical Laplace Transform on Ordered Symmetric Spaces*, http://www.math.lsu.edu/∼preprint (1997).

[20] G. Ólafsson, *Open problems in harmonic analysis on causal symmetric spaces*, Positivity in Lie Theory: Open Problems. Ed. J. Hilgert, J. Lawson, K.-H. Neeb, and E. Vinberg. De Gruyter (1998), 249–270.

[21] G. Ólafsson, *Analytic Continuation in Representation Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, Global Analysis and Harmonic Analysis (Marseille-Luminy, 1999), ed. J. P. Bourguignon, T. Branson, and O. Hijazi. Seminares et Congres **4** (2000), 201-233.

[22] G. Ólafsson, *The c-function for symmetric spaces*, Proceedings of an International Workshop on Lie Theory and its applications in Physics. Ed. H-D. Dobner, V.K. Döbner, and J. Hilgert (2001).

[23] G. Ólafsson, and A. Pasquale, *On the meromorphic extension of the spherical functions on noncompactly causal symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **181** (2001), 346–401.

[24] G. Ólafsson and B. Ørsted, *The holomorphic discrete series of an affine symmetric space and represetnations with reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **326** (199), 385–405.

[25] G.I. Olshanski, *Invariant cones in Lie algebras, Lie semigroups, and the holomorphic discrete series*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **15** (1982), 275–285.

[26] A. Pasquale, *A theory of Θ-spherical functions*, Habilitationsschrift, Clausthal-Zellerfeld (2001).

[27] R.J. Stanton, *Analytic Extension of the holomorphic discrete series*, Amer. J. Math **108** (1986), 1411–1424.

The Ohio State University, Department of Mathematics, 231 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210-1174
*E-mail address*: `kroetz@math.ohio-state.edu`

Louisiana State University, Department of Mathematics, Baton Rouge, LA 70803
*E-mail address*: `olafsson@math.lsu.edu`